

Applied Research Report

Cotton Seed Treatment Evaluation

Stephen Biles, Extension Agent - IPM for Calhoun, Refugio and Victoria Counties Zan Matthies, County Extension Agent - AG

Cooperator: Danny May, Calhoun County

Summary

A trial was conducted with the purpose of evaluating cotton seed treatments for control of thrips and the resulting effects on lint yield. No differences were found in thrips numbers at any of the three dates insect counts were made. The thrips population was relatively low in this trial.

Objectives

The objective of this project was to evaluate cotton seed treatments for control of thrips and the resulting effect on lint yield.

Materials and Methods

A trial was planted on 6 April 2006 with the purpose of. The cotton was planted at a rate of 5.7 seed per foot on 38 inch rows. Plots were 6 rows wide, the length of the field. Dual applications were made in a 20 inch band at planting.

Treatments were as follows:

- 1) Untreated
- 2) Avicta
- 3) Gaucho Grande 0.375 mg a.i./seed
- 4) Cruiser 0.3 mg a.i./seed
- 5) Cruiser 0.3 mg a.i./seed Dynasty 3.9 oz /cwt

The trial was set up as a randomized complete block with three replications. Visual insect counts were made at 14 and 22 days after planting (DAP). Insect counts made 29 DAP were made by clipping plants and placing them in alcohol, then counting

thrips after filtering. Harvest was made by hand picking ten feet of row from each plot.

Results and Discussion

The thrips population was relatively low in this field. No differences were found in thrips numbers at any of the three dates insect counts were made (Table 1).

Lint yield for the Cruiser and Cruiser + Dynasty treatments was significantly lower than that of the other treatments. While this difference is statistically significant, it is not consistent with other studies and cannot be explained.

Table 1. Number of thrips per plant and 14, 22 and 29 days after planting and lint yield (lbs/A).

,	,			Thrips (# / plant)			Lint Yield (Ibs/A)
Trt	Treatment		Rate	4/20/2006	4/28/2006	5/5/2006	8/31/2006
No.	Name	Rate	Unit	14 DAP	22 DAP	29 DAP	147 DA-A
1	Untreated			0.30 a	3.70 a	38.47 a	1585.86 a
2	Avicta			0.07 a	2.03 a	21.27 a	1504.26 a
3	Gaucho Grande	0.375	mg ai/seed	0.10 a	3.00 a	21.67 a	1528.70 a
4	Cruiser	0.3	mg ai/seed	0.03 a	3.03 a	13.80 a	1336.21 b
5	Cruiser	0.3	mg ai/seed	0.03 a	2.23 a	24.00 a	1233.71 b
	Dynasty	3.9	oz/cwt				
LSD (P=.05)			0.209	2.258	24.002	144.909	
Standard Deviation				0.111	1.199	12.748	76.963
CV				104.11	42.83	53.47	5.35
Repl	icate F			0.054	0.227	0.33	4.473
Replicate Prob(F)			0.9477	0.8022	0.7283	0.0497	
Treatment F			3.027	0.945	1.505	10.962	
Treatment Prob(F)			0.0854	0.4855	0.2881	0.0025	

Trade names of commercial products used in this report are included only for better understanding and clarity. Reference to commercial products or trade names is made with the understanding that no discrimination is intended and no endorsement by the Texas A&M University System is implied. Readers should realize that results from one experiment do not represent conclusive evidence that the same response would occur where conditions vary.